

# Climanosco – The Peer-Review Process

## Scientists initiated

Submission of *Manuscript*  
(first author must be a scientist)  
with list of potential scientific referees

## Public initiated

Submission of *Manuscript Invitation*  
by any member  
(membership open to any individual)

Invitation checked by office

Invitation posted on public web site and  
sent to potential scientific authors

Authors respond to proposal and write a  
Manuscript

Submission of *Manuscript*  
(first author must be a scientist)  
with list of potential scientific referees

Office invites proposers to  
reformulate Invitation

Manuscript checked by office

Manuscript posted on members web site

*Preliminary Discussion* (2 weeks):  
All members are invited to comment on the  
Manuscript. Authors finalize within 2 weeks.

Assignment of an editor (a scientist)

Editor finds 2 Scientific Referees  
(usually members)

Editor finds randomly 2 Non-scientific  
Referees (members)

*Open Review* (3 weeks):  
Each Referee and any member write a  
Review independently;  
Review reports and Reviewers names are  
made public after the deadline only

*Open Discussion* (2 weeks):  
All members are invited to comment and  
discuss on the Manuscript in light of the  
Review reports.

Authors reply to all Review reports and  
most significant points from Open  
Discussion

*Editor's recommendation:*  
(1) Acceptable as is  
(2) Acceptable after revisions  
(3) Not acceptable

Authors submit Revised Manuscript  
or abandon Manuscript

*Editor's final decision:*  
(1) Accepted  
(2) Rejected  
(3) Abandoned

Last proof-read by Authors and Editor

Final Article posted on public web site

Identification of the need for a new  
Manuscript or for updating an existing  
Manuscript

Authors may

- resubmit Manuscript after correction following Review reports and Open Discussion, or
- transform the Manuscript in a Manuscript Invitation